

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/342,768	06/29/1999	SCOTT BERMINGHAM DOYLE	17286	7075
7	590 12/28/2004		EXAM	INER
THE WHITAKER CORPORATION			NGUYEN, THUAN T	
4550 NEW LINDEN HILL ROAD			ARTIBUT	DANED AND IDED
SUITE 450			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILMINGTON, DE 19808			2685	-

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/342,768	DOYLE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		THUAN T. NGUYEN	2685			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	ss		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirts will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commu- ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.					
· 7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 June 1999</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-, <u> </u>	_			

Application/Control Number: 09/342,768

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United

States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article

21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blasing et al. 4. (U.S. Patent No. 6,052,582/ or "Blasing" hereinafter).

Regarding claim 1, Blasing discloses "a local multipoint distribution service system (LDMS) having comprising an antenna for transmitting a signal of reused frequency within a specified range from the antenna, the antenna having multiple radiating antenna elements provided with the signal, the signal provided to each of the antenna elements being adjusted in phase and in amplitude across the radiating elements to mitigate radiation above horizon, and the signal provided to each of the antenna elements being adjusted in phase and in amplitude to

Application/Control Number: 09/342,768

Art Unit: 2685

decrease attenuation in radiated power with distance from the antenna" (see Fig. 19, col. 13/line 64 to col. 14/line 7 for LMDS; col. 5/lines 3-35 for the advantage of re-use of frequency within the Blasing's system as further noted in col. 16/line 62 to col./ 17/line 32 for LMDS; and Fig. 46 /items 376 & 396 for variable attenuators and items 378, 382, 398, 404 for phase shifters for amplitude and phase adjusting of radiating antenna elements; Figs. 2, 4, 5, 43B and col. 8/lines 33-56 for mitigating radiation above horizon of the signal provided to each of the radiating elements of the antenna; and col. 22/lines 20-53 for sidelobe levels referred to attenuation in radiated power with distance away from the antenna as some excess power is unnecessary).

As for claim 2, in further view of claim 1 above, Blasing further suggests the step of "each of the antenna elements being adjusted in phase and amplitude of signal across the antenna elements to mitigate nulls between lobes of combined radiated signals collectively from the antenna elements", i.e., the maximum and minimum power level is maintained by implementing the low sidelobe levels in adjacent sectors to avoid unwanted signals for the shaped beam antenna (see col. 22/lines 20-53).

With respect to claim 3, in further view of claim 1 above, Blasing further discloses "each of the antenna elements being adjusted in phase and in amplitude of signal across the antenna elements to reduce excess signal power at near range", i.e., an excess power output is reduced at near range or at adjacent sectors by eliminating unwanted energy from using low sidelobe antennas (see col. 22/lines 20-53 as sidelobe is maintained for mitigating interference at a reduced level from 3dB reduced to 1 dB).

As for claims 4-6, a corresponding method for use in the disclosed system is rejected for the reasons given in the scope of the system claims 1-3 as already disclosed above.

Application/Control Number: 09/342,768

Art Unit: 2685

Conclusion

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Thuan Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-5860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office** whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

YONY T. NGUYEN PATENT EXAMINER

Tony T. Nguyen Art Unit 2685 December 17, 2004